Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness for Annual Review: Options for Departments - TILT - Colorado State University

In December 2017, Faculty Council approved revisions to the Colorado State <u>University Faculty Manual</u> that include "Departments shall foster a culture that values and recognizes excellent teaching, and encourages reflective self-assessment... [and that] <u>evaluation of teaching</u> should be designed to highlight strengths, identify deficiencies, and improve teaching and learning. Evaluation criteria of teaching can include, but are not limited to, quality of curriculum design; quality of instructional materials; achievement of student learning outcomes; and effectiveness at presenting information, managing class sessions, encouraging student engagement and critical thinking, and responding to student work." Evaluation of teaching must involve substantive review of multiple sources of information such as those listed in the chart below. The following charts offers scenarios from which departments might choose to utilize tools created by TILT in conjunction with existing tools to meet their needs in developing and evaluating teaching effectiveness.

Goal Setting

Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4	Scenario 5
Instructor chooses criteria	Department recommends	Department determines	Department determines	Department determines one
from <i>Framework for</i>	criteria from <i>Framework for</i>	criteria from <i>Framework for</i>	specific goal(s) for all	goal for all instructors in
Teaching Effectiveness¹ to set	Teaching Effectiveness to set	Teaching Effectiveness for	instructors in department.	department. Instructor sets a
goal(s) ²	goal(s)	instructors to set goal(s)	Departmental goal used to	personal goal around
Instructor determines	Department recommends	Department determines	plan professional	teaching effectiveness.
number of goals (1 – 2	number of goals	number of goals	development and other	
recommended)			support for instructors	

Example of Evidence

Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Scenario 4	Scenario 5
Instructor chooses three forms	Department chooses one form of	Department chooses three forms	Department chooses three	Department chooses one form
of evidence of teaching	evidence of teaching effectiveness	of evidence of teaching	forms of evidence of teaching	of evidence of teaching
effectiveness from the list below	from the list below AND	effectiveness from the list below	effectiveness from the list	effectiveness from the list below
	Instructor chooses two forms of		below	Instructor chooses two forms of
	evidence			evidence

Evidence of Teaching Effectiveness (define the metrics...)

- summaries of how the instructor used information from student feedback to improve course design or instructional delivery, as well as any evidence of the outcomes of such improvements
- course syllabi that support student learning
- signed peer evaluations
- examples of course improvements and their effect on student learning
- development of new courses and teaching techniques
- integration of service learning
- letters, electronic mail messages, and/or other forms of written comments from current and/or former students
- evidence of the use of active and/or experiential learning and their effect on student learning
- student learning achievement

- professional development related to teaching and learning
- assessments from conference/workshop attendees
- self-assessment of placement and evidence of growth on Framework for Teaching Effectiveness
- evidence of work on/improvement of university priorities to improve student success, i.e., FFW (First Four Weeks), Principles of Community, Inclusive Excellence...

¹ Framework for Teaching Effectiveness – developed by TILT in 2018. This document defines stages of development and achievement in teaching effectiveness.

² Goal-Setting Form – developed by TILT in 2018. This form allows instructors to set a teaching goal(s) and determine metrics for achievement.